

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,939	02/09/2001	Bob Tang	012.P57001	4135	
Herkeley LAW & TECHNOLOGY GROUP, LLP 17933 NW Evergreen Parkway, Suite 250			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SHAH, C	SHAH, CHIRAG G	
BEAVERTON	BEAVERTON, OR 97006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
`			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	,		11/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/779,939	TANG, BOB			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Chirag G. Shah	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 recites limitation "capable of". Under MPEP 2106, page 2100-8, "language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howe (U.S. 6,611,519) in view of Piirainen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,763,010), hereinafter referred as Piirainen.

Regarding claim 1, Howe discloses in fig. 7 of a method comprising:

pre-arranging between a source and a destination [source 1 and destination 5, see fig. 7] one or more Internet connected nodes to transmit a signal from a first node to a second node without a buffering delay and/or a route computation delay for at least one or more predetermined time periods [see col. 6, lines 17-47 and fig. 7, where the source 1 transmits

and switches its information directly a across the network, on a predetermined, precisely scheduled "path", with no buffering and no delays],

establishing a connection between the source and the destination along the pre-arranged one or more internet connected nodes[predetermined precisely scheduled path between source 1 and destination 5 via links, see fig. 7 and col. 6, lines 17-47], at least in part, to enable bi-directional data communication between the source and destination [source 1 to destination 5, see fig. 7];

wherein a particular one of the one or more predetermined time periods is determined based at least in part on a transmission link bandwidth of a particular node [see col. 37, lines 30-37, where the layer one switch of figure 7 may operate at DS-1 of 1.54Mbps or 1 Gbps] as claim.

Howe discloses of in paragraph 0857 and claim 1 of transmitting the information signal(s) along the transmission connection path, however fails to explicitly disclose of interleaving one or more signals and transmitting the interleaved one or more signals along the connection.

Piirainen teaches of in fig. 4 of a transceiver comprising a multiplexing means and an interleaving means. Piirainen discloses in the abstract and in col. 3, lines 52 to col. 4, lines 43 of a transceiver interleaving the bits of the channel-coded signal into blocks of a predetermined size and transmitting the interleaved signal(s) as a communication signal transmission burst in a time slot over the connection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Howe to include the interleaving and multiplexing signals prior to transmission over the pre-established connection

Application/Control Number: 09/779,939

Art Unit: 2616

as taught by Piirainen. One is motivated as such in order to increase the transmission speed of the communication signal and the number of the connections.

Regarding claim 3, Howe disclose in fig. 7 of a system comprising:

a virtual dedicated communication path comprising one or more Internet connected nodes [source 1 transmits and switches its information directly across the network on a predetermined precisely scheduled path, see col. 6, lines 17-47 and fig. 7], wherein the one or more nodes are capable of being pre-arranged for one or more periods of time [a node is pre-arranged for predetermined precisely scheduled path, see col. 6, lines 17-47], to transmit a signal from a first node to a second node without a buffering delay and/or a route calculation delay [see col. 6, lines 17-47 and fig. 7, where the source 1 transmits and switches its information directly a across the network, on a predetermined, precisely scheduled "path", with no buffering and no delays],

wherein a particular one of said one or more respective periods of time is determined based at least in part on a transmission link bandwidth of a particular one of the one or more nodes [see col. 37, lines 30-37, where the layer one switch of figure 7 may operate at DS-1 of 1.54Mbps or 1 Gbps] as claim.

Howe discloses of in paragraph 0857 and claim 1 of transmitting the information signal(s) along the transmission connection path, however fails to explicitly disclose of wherein the signal comprises one or more multiplexed signals from the source and/or the destination..

Piirainen teaches of in fig. 4 of a transceiver comprising a multiplexing means and an interleaving means. Piirainen discloses in the abstract and in col. 3, lines 52 to col. 4, lines 43

that the signal blocks formed by the interleaving means are supplied to the multiplexing means, which multiplex at least two 114 bit signal blocks that have been interleaved by the interleaving means fro transmission in the same burst over the connection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Howe to include the interleaving and multiplexing signals prior to transmission over the preestablished connection as taught by Piirainen. One is motivated as such in order to increase the transmission speed of the communication signal and the number of the connections.

Regarding claim 6, Howe discloses in figs. 12 and 13 of a system comprising:

a connection manager [time scheduled controller 120, see fig. 12 and 13] capable of connecting a source and a destination at least in part by designating one or more Internet Connected nodes for transmitting a signal from a first node to a second node without a buffering delay and/or a route calculation delay, at least in part by designating the one or more nodes for transmitting said signal for one or more periods of time [see col. 6, lines 17-47 and fig. 7, where the source 1 transmits and switches its information directly a across the network, on a predetermined, precisely scheduled "path", with no buffering and no delays], wherein a particular one or the one or more periods of time is determined based at least in part on a transmission link bandwidth of a particular one of the one or more nodes [see col. 37, lines 30-37, where the layer one switch of figure 7 may operate at DS-1 of 1.54Mbps or 1 Gbps].

Howe discloses of in paragraph 0857 and claim 1 of transmitting the information signal(s) along the transmission connection path, however fails to explicitly disclose of wherein the signal comprises one or more multiplexed signals from the source and/or the destination.

Piirainen teaches of in fig. 4 of a transceiver comprising a multiplexing means and an interleaving means. Piirainen discloses in the abstract and in col. 3, lines 52 to col. 4, lines 43 that the signal blocks formed by the interleaving means are supplied to the multiplexing means, which multiplex at least two 114 bit signal blocks that have been interleaved by the interleaving means fro transmission in the same burst over the connection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Howe to include the interleaving and multiplexing signals prior to transmission over the preestablished connection as taught by Piirainen. One is motivated as such in order to increase the transmission speed of the communication signal and the number of the connections.

Regarding claims 4, 7 and 11, Howe discloses wherein the virtual dedicated communication path comprises a first unidirectional virtual dedicated circuit and a second unidirectional virtual dedicated circuit [see fig. 7, where a first unidirectional circuit and a second unidirectional circuits are present].

Regarding claims 5 and 8, Howe discloses wherein at least one of the unidirectional virtual dedicated circuits is active for a period of time [see col. 6, lines 17-47 and fig. 7, where the source 1 transmits and switches its information directly a across the network, on a predetermined, specified precise scheduled time].

Regarding claim 9, Howe fails to disclose wherein the signal further comprises multiplexed data from another source at one or more of the designated one or more nodes from

another source at one or more of the designated one or more nodes. Piirainen disclose wherein the signal further comprises multiplexed data [at least two 114-bit signal, see col. 3, lines 60 to col. 4, lines 9] from another source at one or more of the designated one or more nodes [antenna receives speech signal from another source (another source is inherent since the transceiver includes an antenna for receiving a speech signal), see fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 53 to col. 4, lines 9]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Howe to include the interleaving and multiplexing signals prior to transmission over the pre-established connection as taught by Piirainen. One is motivated as such in order to increase the transmission speed of the communication signal and the number of the connections.

Regarding claim 10, Howe fails to disclose of further comprising interleaving data from another source at one or more of the pre-arranged nodes. Piirainen teaches of in fig. 4 of a transceiver comprising a multiplexing means and an interleaving means. Piirainen discloses in the abstract and in col. 3, lines 52 to col. 4, lines 43 that the signal blocks formed by the interleaving means are supplied to the multiplexing means for multiplexing the interleaved blocks, which multiplex at least two 114 bit signal blocks that have been interleaved by the interleaving means for transmission in the same burst over the connection received from speech source node. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Howe to include the interleaving and multiplexing signals prior to transmission over the pre-established connection as taught by

Piirainen. One is motivated as such in order to increase the transmission speed of the communication signal and the number of the connections.

Regarding claim 12, Howe fails to disclose the signal further further comprises multiplexed data from another source at one or more of the designated one or more pre-arranged nodes. Piirainen disclose wherein the signal further further comprises multiplexed data [at least two 114-bit signal, see col. 3, lines 60 to col. 4, lines 9] from another source at one or more of the designated one or more pre-arranged nodes [antenna in the pre-arranged transceiver receives speech signal from another source (another source is inherent since the transceiver includes an antenna for receiving a speech signal), see fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 53 to col. 4, lines 9]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Howe to include the interleaving and multiplexing signals prior to transmission over the pre-established connection as taught by Piirainen. One is motivated as such in order to increase the transmission speed of the communication signal and the number of the connections.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,939

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chirag G. Shah whose telephone number is 571-272-3144. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patel Jay can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

cgs

October 29, 2007

CHIRAG G. SHAH
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Chirag G. Shah

Primary Patent Examiner, 2616